Sectt. H-27—104,066—4-11-49.

Development (1952) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. NO. 1306

Dated 27-3-52

Passed

Supdt.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Postwar Development - Livestock - Establishment of a Livestock Farm in the South Kanara dt. — Construction of buildings - Additional expenditure - Sanctioned.

Roc.No.7513-VI/51.

Animal Husbandry Department,
Triplicane post, Madras,
Dated: 5/3/52.

From

Sri V.R. Rajagopalan, GMVC., Dip. Bact. (Manchester
Director of Animal Husbandry, Madras.

To

The Deputy Secretary to Government,
Development Department, MADRAS.

Dev 25278 P+D-II
6.3.52

Sir,

Sub: Buildings - District Livestock Farm, Koila (South Kanara) Estimates for plastering the Nearing Parturition and Dry Cow Sheds - Sanction requested.

Three cattle sheds with calving pens were constructed at District Livestock Farm, Koila for the Nearing Parturition and dry cows at a cost of Rs.17,917/- This is covered by the sanction accorded in G.O.No.Ms.2096, Development (P & D) dated 25th May, 1950. Subsequent to the construction, the Superintendent has carried out plastering the walls with cement at a cost of Rs.2601/- as the unplastered walls were harbouring ticks etc. He should have foreseen the necessity for plastering and provided for this item in the original work and executed the same. As the three sheds cannot be said to have been completed without this essential item, which is required to keep the sheds in a sanitary and hygienic condition , I am permitting the Superintendent to incur the expenditure in anticipation of sanction. I, therefore, request that Government may be pleased to sanction the expenditure of Rs.2601/- and also approve my action. The expenditure is debitable to 41-Veterinary - G.Works - Cattle Breeding Farms, under which funds are available.

Yours faithfully,

for Director of Animal Husbandry, Madras.

Nv/- 5/3.

5.3.52

Pl. issue BS 27/3/52

DEV.(P&D.II) D[illegible].

POST WAR DEVELOPMENT - Livestock - Establishment of a livestock farm in the South Kanara Dt. - Construction of buildings - Additional expenditure - Sanctioned

Read the following:-

G.O.Ms.No.107, Devt., dated 7-1-1950 and G.O.Ms. No.2096, Dev.(P&D) Dept., dated 2[illegible]-[illegible]-50.

Read also:-

From the D.A.H. Roc.No.7513-VI/51 dated 6-3-52.

G.O.MS.NO. 13 0 6 DATED 27·3·52

In the circumstances reported by the D.A.H. in his letter read above, H.E. the Governor of Madras sanctions an additional expenditure of Rs.9,601/(Rupees nine thousand, six hundred and one only) for plastering the walls of three cattle sheds in the Dt. Livestock Farm, South Kanara. The action of the D.A.H. in having permitted the Supdt. to incur the expenditure in anticipation of the sanction of Govt., is approved.

2. The expenditure should be debited to "11-Veterinary - G. Works - Cattle Breeding Farms."

3. The Supdt. should have foreseen the need for the work and made provision for it in the estimate. The practice of incurring additional expenditure without prior sanction and later on coming up to Govt. for sanction in piecemeal fashion, is most objectionable and should cease forthwith.

To the D.A.H.
A.G. thro' Fin.
Copy to C.E.(Genl.) S.F.

Note:-

The provision originally sanctioned for building works in the Livestock Farm was Rs. 5,500. As the D.A.H. represented that the actual rates and volume of additional provision of Rs. 10,102 was subsequently sanctioned. Director has separately applied for sanction to additional expenditure of Rs. 5,001 on the same

certain buildings were put up in the site and present shorter instead of mud as provided for in the original estimate and 6 additional rooms were also put up for which prior sanction is not obtained. This matter is separately under regularisation. The present is another instance of incurring expenditure without proper sanction. The expenditure may be sanctioned, but the Director may be told that this procedure is to be deprecated. Subject to orders, the draft above is for approval.

..... & Fin. may see for acceptance.

Dev. U.O. No. 25278 P&24/52-1

PWD (C)

The draft order at p1 and the subsequent notes & Dev. Deptt. may be perused and the case passed on to Finance.

Fin (Exp C)

In the circumstances stated in para 1 & 2 above, the draft order may be accepted.

Issued as G.O.Ms.No. 1306 dated 27.3.52

Fin.U.O. No. 20036 E.C./52-1 dt. 24.3.52